

***Taking Control of Asthma in Indiana:  
Asthma Management Guidelines Update***

***Richmond, Indiana  
October 24, 2006***

**Evaluation Report**

**Sponsored by Indiana University School of Medicine's Division of  
Continuing Medical Education**

**Indiana State Department of Health**

**Indiana Joint Asthma Coalition**

**Reid Hospital**

# Contents

Executive Summary.....	
Speaker Evaluation Results.....	Section A
Program Evaluation Results .....	Section B
Evaluation Instruments.....	Section C

## Executive Summary

The Indiana University School of Medicine's Division of Continuing Medical Education, Indiana State Department of Health, Indiana Joint Asthma Coalition and Reid Hospital jointly sponsored a 90 minutes course entitled "Taking Control of Asthma in Indiana: Asthma Management Guidelines Update." This course was held in Reid Hospital, Richmond, Indiana on October 24, 2006.

### Speaker Evaluation

There were a total of 8 respondents to the Speaker Evaluation surveys. Participants were asked to evaluate each presentation on the following 5 items on a scale from 1 (lowest) through 4 (highest):

- The speaker and presentation illustrated objectivity and balance.
- The speaker's presentation was evidence based and demonstrated scientific rigor.
- The presentation was appropriate to the level of the audience.
- The presentation was well organized and clearly communicated.
- As a result of attending the presentation, I will make appropriate practice changes based on this information.

Table 1 reflects a summary of speakers' evaluations. Section A of this report presents detail tables and charts of these results.

**Table 1. Speaker Evaluation Survey Results (Summary)**

<i>Speaker and Presentation Topics</i>	<i>Average (Four level scale)</i>
Frederick Leickly, M.D. <b>Presentation Title: "Taking Control of Asthma in Indiana – Management Guidelines"</b>	3.88
Frederick Leickly, M.D. <b>Presentation Title: "Case Studies"</b>	3.63
<b>OVERALL RATING</b>	3.75

## **Program Evaluation**

Eight participants evaluated the overall program according to 5 items. Participants were asked to evaluate each item according to whether they strongly agree, agree, not applicable, disagree or strongly disagree. Table 2 identifies the results of this survey. More detail Program Evaluation charts and graphs are presented in Section B of this report.

**Table 2. Program Evaluation Survey Results**

(Percentages represent total of respondents who indicated "Strongly Agree" and "Agree"

**Important results of the conference evaluations were that:**


- **100% felt that the program met their professional expectations and needs**
- **100% felt that the information presented contributed to and/or expanded on their knowledge of the subject**
- **100% felt that the program content was current and up-to-date.**
- **100% felt the program content was closely related to their area of expertise.**
- **As a result of attending this activity**
  - **100% of participants indicated that they will be better able to outline the significant features of the asthma management guidelines**
  - **100% of participants indicated that they will be better able to discuss adherence issues and methods to overcome difficulties.**
  - **100% of participants indicated that they will be better able to describe new advances in the diagnosis and management of Asthma.**
  - **100 of participants indicated that they will be better able to improve the patient's quality of life by helping the patient achieve and maintain control of symptoms.**

# **Section A**

## **Speaker Evaluation Results**

**Frederick Leickly, M.D. Taking Control of Asthma in Indiana-Management Guidelines**  
**October 24, 2006**


	Strongly Agree		Agree		Disagree		Strongly Disagree		Not Applicable		Mean	N
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%		
1. The speaker and presentation illustrated objectivity and balance.	6	75.00%	2	25.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	3.75	8
2. The speaker's presentation was evidence-based and demonstrated scientific rigor.	7	87.50%	1	12.50%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	3.88	8
3. The presentation was appropriate to the level of the audience.	8	100.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	4.00	8
4. The presentation was well organized and clearly communicated.	6	75.00%	2	25.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	3.75	8
5. As result of attending this presentation, I will make appropriate practice changes based on this information.	8	100.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	4.00	8
<b>Scale Average:</b>											<b>3.88</b>	



**Taking Control of Asthma in Indiana - Management Guidelines**  
**Frederick Leickly, M.D. Case Study**  
**October 24, 2006**

	Strongly Agree		Agree		Disagree		Strongly Disagree		Not Applicable		Mean	N
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%		
1. The speaker and presentation illustrated objectivity and balance.	6	75.00%	2	25.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	3.75	8
2. The speaker's presentation was evidence-based and demonstrated scientific rigor.	6	75.00%	2	25.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	3.75	8
3. The presentation was appropriate to the level of the audience.	7	87.50%	1	12.50%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	3.88	8
4. The presentation was well organized in its structure.	3	37.50%	5	62.50%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	3.38	8
5. As a result of attending this presentation, I will make appropriate practice changes based on this information.	3	37.50%	5	62.50%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	3.38	8

**Scale Average: 3.63**




## **Section B**

# **Program Evaluation Results**




**Taking Control of Asthma in Indiana - Management Guidelines  
Program and Objectives Evaluation- October 24, 2006**

	Strongly Agree		Agree		Disagree		Strongly Disagree		Not Applicable		Mean	N
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%		
1. the program met my professional expectations and needs.	7	87.50%	1	12.50%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	4.00	8
2. the information presented contributed to and/or expanded on my knowledge of the subject.	6	75.00%	2	25.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	4.00	8
3. the program was current and up-to-date.	8	100.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	4.00	8
4. The content was closely related to my area of expertise.	5	62.50%	3	37.50%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	3.88	8
Scale Average:											4.00	



	Strongly Agree		Agree		Disagree		Strongly Disagree		Not Applicable		Mean	N
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%		
I. Outline the significant features of the asthma management guidelines	7	87.50%	1	12.50%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	3.88	8
II. Discuss adherence issues and methods to overcome difficulties	8	100.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	4.00	8
III. Describe new advances in the diagnosis and management of Asthma	7	87.50%	1	12.50%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	3.88	8
IV. Improve the patient's quality of life by helping the patient achieve and maintain control of symptoms	5	62.50%	3	37.50%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	3.63	8
Scale Average:											3.85	



# **PROGRAM EVALUATION**

*Taking Control of Asthma in Indiana  
Asthma Management Guidelines Update  
Richmond, Indiana  
October 24, 2006*

**I will make the following changes in my practice as a result of attending this program:**

- I will pay more attention to compliance issues
- Include patient education as an important part of management

**Additional Comments:**

- Great program
- Thanks for the update
- Dr. Leickly is a great educator

**Suggested Topics for Future Programs**

- More on patient education

**Section C**  
**Evaluation Instrument**

**PROGRAM EVALUATION**  
***Taking Control of Asthma in Indiana:***  
***Asthma Management Guidelines Update***  
**Richmond, Indiana**  
**October 24, 2006**

SA=Strongly Agree, A=Agree, D=Disagree, SD=Strongly Disagree, N/A=Not Applicable	SA	A	D	SD	N/A
1. The program met my professional expectations and needs.					
2. The information presented contributed to and/or expanded on my knowledge of the diagnosis and management of asthma.					
3. The program was current and up-to-date.					
4. The content was closely related to my area of expertise.					
5. As a result of attending this activity, I will be better able to <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Outline the significant features of the asthma management guidelines</li> <li>• Discuss adherence issues and methods to overcome difficulties</li> <li>• Describe new advances in the diagnosis and management of Asthma</li> <li>• Improve the patient's quality of life by helping the patient achieve and maintain control of symptoms</li> </ul>					
6. I will make the following changes in my practice as a result of attending this program: <hr/> <hr/> <hr/> <hr/>					
7. Please describe the PRIMARY reasons that would prevent you from making the above change(s). <hr/> <hr/> <hr/> <hr/>					
8. What are your credentials? <input type="checkbox"/> M.D. <input type="checkbox"/> D.O. <input type="checkbox"/> Ph.D. <input type="checkbox"/> R.N. <input type="checkbox"/> R.T. <input type="checkbox"/> Pharm.D. <input type="checkbox"/> P.A. <input type="checkbox"/> Other (please specify)					
9. May we contact you in the future to determine the effectiveness of this CME activity on your practice? If yes, please select the method(s) by which you prefer to be contacted. <input type="checkbox"/> E-mail <input type="checkbox"/> Fax <input type="checkbox"/> Phone <input type="checkbox"/> Mail <input type="checkbox"/> Please do not contact me					
Contact information:					

## SPEAKER EVALUATION

***Taking Control of Asthma in Indiana:  
Asthma Management Guidelines Update  
Richmond, Indiana  
October 24, 2006***

SA=Strongly Agree, A=Agree, D=Disagree, SD=Strongly Disagree, N/A=Not Applicable,	SA	A	D	SD	N/A
<b>Speaker Name: Frederick Leickly, M.D.</b>					
<b>Presentation Title: Taking Control of Asthma in Indiana- Management Guidelines</b>					
1. The speaker and presentation illustrated objectivity and balance.					
2. The speaker's presentation was evidence-based and demonstrated scientific rigor.					
3. The presentation was appropriate to the level of the audience.					
4. The presentation was well organized and clearly communicated					
5. As a result of attending the presentation, I will make appropriate practice changes based on this information					
<b>Speaker Name: Frederick Leickly, M.D.</b>					
<b>Presentation Title: Case Studies</b>					
1. The speaker and presentation illustrated objectivity and balance.					
2. The speaker's presentation was evidence-based and demonstrated scientific rigor.					
3. The presentation was appropriate to the level of the audience.					
4. The presentation was well organized and clearly communicated					
5. As a result of attending the presentation, I will make appropriate practice changes based on this information					
3. The presentation was appropriate to the level of the audience.					
4. The presentation was well organized and clearly communicated					
5. As a result of attending this presentation, I will make appropriate practice changes based on this information					

**Additional Comments:**